#### Software Quality Economics for Combining Defect-Detection Techniques

Stefan Wagner

wagnerst@in.tum.de

Software & Systems Engineering

Technische Universität München

Germany



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



## Motivation

- Defect-detection techniques (reviews, tests, ...) are still the most important methods for the improvement of software quality
- Costs for such techniques are significant. According to Myers (1979) 50% of the total development costs are caused by testing. Jones (1987) assigns 30 – 40% to quality assurance and defect removal.
- Optimisation in this area can save money!
- Two possibilities:
  - 1. Develop new and *better* defect-detection techniques
  - 2. Use existing techniques in an optimal way



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



## **Quality Costs**

- Quality costs are all costs associated with
  - preventing,
  - finding,
  - and correcting defective work
- Original models are derived from the manufacturing area
- Division into conformance and nonconformance costs
- There are derived metrics:
  - Return on Software Quality (ROSQ)
  - Net Present Value of the Software Quality Cash Flows (NPVCF)



## **Quality Cost Types**





- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Example
- 6. Conclusions



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Example
- 6. Conclusions



#### Overview

- How can we describe the relationships between the cost types?
- How do we measure them?
- Suitable to compare different defect-detection techniques
- Direct measurements as far as possible
- Estimations on the basis of the MTTF of faults and the severity of its consequences
- Predictions using software reliability models



## **Directly Measurable Costs**

- Costs that can be directly measured or estimated accurately during the usage of a defect-detection technique
- Fixed initial investments: setup costs (csetup)
  - tools,
  - workstations, ...
- Dynamic part of the appraisal costs: execution costs  $(c_{exec}(p))$ 
  - mainly personnel costs
  - hardware usage
- **Fault removal costs** for found faults ( $c_{remv}(p)$ )

Direct costs (c<sub>direct</sub>) are the sum for all periods where the defect-detection technique was used.
Not Object Days 2005 SOCIA Developer Session Effort German

## **Prediction Using a Reliability Model**

- External failure costs can be predicted
- Reliability models can predict the mean number of experienced failures
- **•** Fraction of a severity class s: P(s)
- Average cost of an external failure of severity class s:
  <sup>c</sup>ext<sup>(s)</sup>
- The future costs are then

$$c_{\text{fut}} = \sum_{i=n}^{u} \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{S} f(i) P(s) c_{\text{ext}}(s)}{(1+D)^{i}},$$

where *n* is the period in which we start the prediction, *u* is the upper limit of the prediction periods, f(i) is the number of failures in that period, and *S* is the highest severity class.

# **Estimation Using Expert Opinion**

- Defect-detection Techniques also generate revenues by avoiding failure costs
- They can only be estimated by expert opinion
- Those costs never actually occur
- Saved external failure costs that are saved by finding and removing faults before releasing the software to the customer
- Basis are estimates for each fault
  - mean time to failure (MTTF)
  - severity of failure
- and old cost data for similar failures
- Results in the revenues r

# **Quality Economics**

- Using the metrics established so far, the calculation of the quality economics is straight-forward
- We have
  - the directly measurable costs from the usage,
  - the predicted future costs during operation,
  - and the estimated revenues from saved costs
- Therefore, the net present value of the cash flows (NPVCF) is:

$$NPVCF = r - c$$
 direct  $- c$  fut.



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



## **Characteristics for a Technique**

- How can we characterise a defect-detection technique in general?
- Future costs contain costs for different techniques
- Revenues and direct costs are characteristic cash flows
- Those cash flows in relation to the effort spent for the technique result in a *characteristic curve*
- Starting with negative cash flows from initial investments
- With further effort it becomes positive as faults are found
- Finally, it reaches an area of satisfaction where it becomes more and more difficult to find faults

Similar to the so-called S-curve of software testing

#### **Characteristic Cash Flows**





#### Problems

- Establishment of such curves for different techniques requires extensive measurement experiments
- Curves from different projects must be normalised because of
  - different software sizes
  - different programming languages
  - different tester experiences
  - ۰...
- Investigation on which factors the curves depend are necessary



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



#### **Technique A and B**





#### **Adjusted B**



#### **Combined A and B**



# **Model of Diversity**

- Littlewood et al. proposed a model of diversity of defect-detection techniques
- Difficulty function  $\theta_A(i)$  that describes difficulty of technique A to find the fault i.
- It varies from one fault to another
- The ineffectiveness of a techique A is then:

$$\begin{split} P(A \text{ fails to detect a randomly chosen fault}) = \\ \sum_{i} p_{i}^{*} \cdot \theta_{A}(i) = E_{p^{*}}(\theta_{A}(i)), \end{split}$$

- $p_i^*$ : probability distribution of the faults to be present
- $E_{p^*}$ : the mean obtained with respect to this probability distribution.



## Model of Diversity — Cont.

Proportion of faults that are found by a technique:

 $E_{p^*}(1 - \theta_A(i)) = \phi_A$ 

Proportion of the faults that could be found by both techniques:

$$E_{p^*}((1-\theta_A(i))\cdot(1-\theta_B(i))) = \phi_{AB}$$

- The result depends on the covariance of the difficulty functions of the techniques.
- A negative covariance means the techniques are strongly diverse and the effect will be small.
- A positive covariance makes the effect stronger.



### **Combination Function**

The diversity model can be used as rough approximation in the function *comb* 

$$comb(T, E, m) = \frac{m(\phi_T - \sum_{e \in E} \phi_{Te})}{\phi_T}$$

- $\blacksquare$  m: monetary value from a technique T
- E: set of earlier used techniques



#### **Reverse Function**

- So far only the combination of isolated techniques can be estimated
- If we had data about the combination of two techniques, we would be interested in finding out how the techniques would have behaved in isolation.
- For this we define the reverse function:

$$\overline{\text{comb}}(T, E, m) = \frac{m \cdot \phi_T}{\phi_T - \sum_{e \in E} \phi_{Te}}$$



## **Economics Model**

Two parts are affected by the combination

$$r' = comb(T, E, r)$$
  
$$c'_{remv} = comb(T, E, c_{remv})$$

- The new definitions can be substituted for the old ones
- The definitions using the reverse function <u>comb</u> are accordingly



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



### Example

- No application to real project data
- Using example from Littlewood et al. and hypothetical cost data
- Railway signaling system
- Code checking (C)
  - csetup = 1000
  - *• cremv* = 200
  - cexec = 1000
  - r = 3000



#### Example — Cont.

- Testing (T)
  - csetup = 2000
  - $c_{remv} = 500$
  - cexec = 800
  - r = 5000
- $c_{fut} = 2000$  for both cases
- $NPVCF_C = -1200$
- $NPVCF_T = -500$
- Single applications are not profitable
- Naive combination would give NPVCF = 500



# Diversity

Fault id	Checking	Testing
F11	Х	-
F12	-	-
F13	-	Х
F14	Х	-
F15	Х	-
F16	-	х
F17	-	Х
F18	X	Х

• 
$$\phi_T = .52$$

• 
$$\phi_{CT} = .28$$

TECHNISCHE

**NUNCHEN** 

#### Results

Then the adjusted values are

$$r' = \operatorname{comb}(T, \{C\}, r) = \frac{5000 \cdot (.52 - .28)}{.52} = 2,293.05$$
$$c'_{remv} = \operatorname{comb}(T, \{C\}, c_{remv}) = \frac{200 \cdot (.52 - .28)}{.52} = 91.72$$

- The adjusted NPVCF is than -1,798.67
- The unadjusted value gives obviously a value far too high



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Types of Costs
- 3. Economics Model
- 4. Characteristics
- 5. Combination
- 6. Example
- 7. Conclusions



### Conclusions

- Model of quality economics for defect-detection techniques based on
  - direct measurement,
  - prediction using software reliability models,
  - and estimation using expert opinion
- Concept of a characteristic curve for a technique
- Incorporation of the effects of combination
- Future work includes
  - Incorporation of maintenance costs
  - Quantification of lost sales, annoyed customers, ...
  - Application to concrete defect-detection techniques

