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Abstract—We investigate Cox processes of random point patterns in the Euclidean plane, which are located on the edges of random geometric graphs. Such Cox processes have applications in the performance analysis and strategic planning of both wireless and wired telecommunication networks. They simultaneously allow to represent the underlying infrastructure of the network together with the locations of network components. In particular, we analyze the Palm version $X^*$ of stationary Cox processes $X$ living on random graphs that are built by the edges of an iterated random tessellation $T$. We derive a representation formula for the Palm version $T^*$ of $T$ which includes the initial tessellation $T_0$ and the component tessellation $T_1$ of $T$ as well as their Palm versions $T^*_0$ and $T^*_1$. Using this formula, we are able to construct a simulation algorithm for $X^*$ if both $T_0$, $T_1$ and their Palm versions $T^*_0$, $T^*_1$ can be simulated. This algorithm for $X^*$ extends earlier results for Cox processes on simpler (non-iterated) tessellations. It can be used, for example, in order to estimate the probability densities of various connection distances, which are important performance characteristics of telecommunication networks. In a numerical study we consider the particular case that $T_0$ is a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation and $T_1$ is a Poisson line tessellation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Real telecommunication networks are huge and complex systems. They are deployed in a variety of settings (rural areas, towns, indoor…) and are built on technologies that depend on the users needs and specificities. Networking studies aim to find the best possible solutions to serve the requirements of customers under feasibility and cost constraints for the telecommunication operator, the latter being enhanced by the present context of market competition. Appropriate analysis tools are thus needed to relate possible network architecture and characteristics as well as traffic demand and variability to such notions as quality of service perceived by the users.

In particular, reliable and efficient tools are needed for the global analysis of huge networks that explicitly take into account the geometry of the territory while being able to describe various technologies and architectures. However, it is often impossible to do the analysis directly on real network data, e.g., due to the enormous size and complexity of modern telecommunication networks. A methodological solution of this problem is to look at the complexity of the network from a macroscopic perspective. This can be achieved in the framework of stochastic modeling. Spatial stochastic modeling is a powerful approach for the purpose of global analysis of huge networks since it provides direct access to the statistical properties of the system. The main principles that govern the behavior of the network to be studied are translated via the choice of suitable random processes. They have been proved to be useful in order to describe the geometry of the underlying infrastructure or the locations of network components. Since the first applications of these tools to global network modeling, see e.g. [1]–[6], the variety of suitable spatial network models has been greatly enriched using concepts from stochastic geometry, like spatial point processes and random geometric graphs [7]–[9]. Traditionally, the locations of network components have been described by planar Poisson processes. The advantage of this approach is that the resulting network models are analytically tractable to a great extent. Unfortunately, this is not possible from end to end for most of the random processes involved in more realistic models, even under stationarity assumptions. However, planar Poisson processes represent the situation of complete spatial randomness and, therefore, seem to be inappropriate in many applications. For instance, in this way, geographical features of the considered region where a new network has to be deployed cannot be taken into account.

We regard more general classes of point processes which are able to include geographical features into the network model. In particular, we consider Cox processes located on the edges of random geometric graphs. Such processes can be used in order to model, e.g., the street system inside cities together with network components located along the streets [10]–[13]. We focus on random graphs which are constructed by the edges of random tessellations, whose distributions depend on a few parameters only. Anyhow, it turns out that by such tessellation models we are able to fit basic statistical properties of real street systems like the mean number of crossings, segments, city blocks and the mean total length of streets all measured per unit area. This means, for a given set of street data, it is possible to choose an optimal tessellation type and its parameters by the fitting techniques introduced in [14], [15].

We emphasize that this kind of Cox processes can be applied in the analysis of both wireless and wired telecommunication networks. For fixed access networks, parametric distance distributions of point-to-point connection distances along the edges of random tessellations were obtained using Palm calculus and efficient simulation algorithms for the typical cell [11], [16]. Note that the parameters of these
distributions explicitly depend on the considered tessellation model. The parametric distance distributions for the optimal tessellation model were then compared to the corresponding distributions which were estimated from real data. Although the choice of the optimal tessellation model was restricted to simple tessellations of Poisson type, the distance distributions computed in [11] from the model and from real data, respectively, were already very close. Since the spatial distribution of the transmitters, receivers and relaying nodes is an essential feature for assessing the performance of wireless networks, we think that such an approach, although developed for fixed network analysis, could also be used in the framework of wireless and mobile networks. The aim of this paper is to make the reader aware of the possibility to include the geometry of road systems in wireless network analysis. For example, the distributions of direct (Euclidean) connection distances can be computed for network components located along street systems and belonging to different hierarchy levels of the network [12].

In [14] we showed that iterated tessellations [17], [18] lead to much better fits regarding the underlying street system than simple (non-iterated) tessellations of Poisson type. Thus, we also expect even better results for the fitting of distance distributions than those obtained in [11]. In the present paper, we therefore extend some results, which we recently derived for stationary Cox processes on simple (non-iterated) tessellations of Poisson type, to Cox processes $X$ concentrated on iterated tessellations $T$. Note that $T$ is then built by an initial tessellation $T_0$ and a component tessellation $T_1$. For the analysis of cost functionals like the distributions of connection distances, it is fundamental to analyze the Palm version $X^*$ of the Cox process $X$. Unfortunately, it is often impossible to get closed analytical expressions for the distribution of $X^*$. However, alternatively, simulation algorithms for $X^*$ can be used in order to analyze various performance characteristics of the network. For example, in hierarchical network models it is possible to estimate distance distributions in an efficient way based on samples of the typical Voronoi cell of $X$ which can be constructed from $X^*$, see e.g. [10], [12].

In Theorem 3.1, we derive a representation formula for the distribution of the Palm version $T^*$ of $T$ which includes the initial tessellation $T_0$ and the component tessellation $T_1$ of $T$ as well as their Palm versions $T_0^*$ and $T_1^*$. Using this formula, we are able to construct a simulation algorithm for $X^*$ if both $T_0$, $T_1$ and their Palm versions $T_0^*$, $T_1^*$ can be simulated. This algorithm for $X^*$ extends earlier results for Cox processes on simpler (non-iterated) tessellations of Poisson type. Note that so far simulation algorithms for $X^*$ were available only for Cox processes on Poisson-Delaunay tessellations (PDT), Poisson-Voronoi tessellations (PVT), and Poisson line tessellations (PLT), respectively [12], [19], [20]. Other extensions are also possible, e.g., to Cox processes on modulated Poisson-Voronoi tessellations [21] which yield a suitable model for nationwide networks.

The paper is organized in the following way. First, in Section II, we briefly explain some mathematical background, introducing the notion of iterated random tessellations $T$ and defining Cox processes $X$ on their edge set $\partial T$. Subsequently, in Section III, we derive a representation formula for the distribution of the Palm version $T^*$ of $T$. Based on this formula we introduce a new simulation algorithm for $X^*$. Finally, in Section IV, we demonstrate in a numerical study how our results can be used in order to estimate the density of various distance distributions based on the typical Voronoi cell of $X$.

**II. COX PROCESSES ON ITERATED TESSELLATIONS**

In this section we describe the kind of tessellation and point-process models for wireless and wired telecommunication networks, which we consider in the present paper. Moreover, we briefly explain some necessary mathematical background and notation. Comprehensive surveys on the usage of stochastic geometry and random geometric graphs in spatial modeling of telecommunication networks can be found in [7]–[9]. For further details on point processes and random tessellations see e.g. [22]–[25].

**A. Iterated tessellations**

A (planar) random tessellation $T$ is a subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^2$ into a sequence $\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \ldots$ of random compact and convex polygons, which are not overlapping and locally finite, i.e., $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Xi_i = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\text{int} \Xi_i \cap \text{int} \Xi_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\#\{i : \Xi_i \cap B \neq \emptyset\} < \infty$ for each bounded set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that $T$ can be identified with its edge set $\partial T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial \Xi_n$. Furthermore, we need the notion of an iterated tessellation (or, equivalently, nested tessellation), where we consider some initial tessellation $T_0$ and a sequence $T_1, T_2, \ldots$ of independent component tessellations, which are independent of $T_0$. Then, for each $n \geq 1$, the $n$th cell $\Xi_{0n}$ of $T_0$ is considered together with its “inner structure” $\Xi_{0n} \cap \partial T_n$, where the intersection $\Xi_{0n} \cap \partial T_n$ means that part of the edge system $\partial T_n$ of $T_n$ which is contained in the cell $\Xi_{0n}$ of $T_0$. The edge set of the iterated tessellation $T$ is then defined by $\partial T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial \Xi_{0n} \cup (\Xi_{0n} \cap \partial T_n)$. A realization of an iterated tessellation is shown in Fig. 1. In particular, by $\Xi_{01}$ we denote the so-called zero cell of $T_0$, i.e., that cell of $T_0$ containing the origin, where the inner structure of $\Xi_{01}$ is given by $\Xi_{01} \cap \partial T_1$. For brevity, we write $T = \tau(T_0 \mid T_1, T_2, \ldots)$ for an iterated tessellation induced by $T_0$ and $T_1, T_2, \ldots$. Note that $T = \tau(T_0 \mid T_1, T_2, \ldots)$ is stationary if $T_0$ is stationary and if $T_1, T_2, \ldots$ are identically distributed and stationary. Then, by $\gamma_0 = \mathbb{E} \nu_1(\partial T_0 \cap [0,1]^2)$ and $\gamma_1 = \mathbb{E} \nu_1(\partial T_1 \cap [0,1]^2)$ we denote the intensities of $T_0$ and $T_1$, respectively, where $\nu_1$ is the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, the intensity $\gamma = \mathbb{E} \nu_1(\partial T \cap [0,1]^2)$ of $T$ is given by $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1$.

**B. Cox processes**

Let $T_0, T_1, T_2, \ldots$ be independent stationary tessellations, where $T_1, T_2, \ldots$ are identically distributed, but the distribution of $T_0$ can be different from that of $T_1, T_2, \ldots$. In the following we assume that the point process $X = \{X_n\}$ is a stationary Cox process in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with random intensity measure $\Lambda$ given by $\Lambda(B) = \lambda_t \nu_1(\partial T \cap B)$ for each Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and some $\lambda_t > 0$, where $T = \tau(T_0 \mid T_1, T_2, \ldots)$. Note that given $T$ the points $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ of $X$ are then placed as
linear Poisson processes on the edges of $T$. Furthermore, the (planar) intensity $\lambda = \mathbb{E}\#\{n : X_n \in [0, 1]^2\}$ of $X$ is given by $\lambda = \lambda_T \gamma$, where $\gamma$ is the intensity of $T$ and $\lambda_T$ can be interpreted as the (linear) intensity of the conditional Poisson processes on the edges of $T$. A realization of a Cox process together with the underlying iterated tessellation is displayed in Fig. 1.

### C. Scaling invariance and scaling limits

Recall that the intensities of $T_0$ and $T_1$ are denoted by $\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_1$, respectively. If we scale $T$ by a constant $c > 0$, then $cT \overset{d}{=} T'$, where $T'$ is an iterated tessellation with initial tessellation $T_0'$ and component tessellation $T_1'$ such that $T_0' = cT_0$ and $T_1' = cT_1$. The intensities $\gamma_0'$ and $\gamma_1'$ of $T_0'$ and $T_1'$ are given by $\gamma_0' = \gamma_0/c$ and $\gamma_1' = \gamma_1/c$, respectively.

If $X$ is a Cox process on $T$ with linear intensity $\lambda_T$ and $X'$ is a Cox process on $T'$ with linear intensity $\lambda_T' = \lambda_T/c$, then $cX \overset{d}{=} X'$. Thus, if $\gamma_0/\gamma_1 = \gamma_0'/\gamma_1'$ and if the scaling factors $\kappa = \gamma_0/\gamma_1$ and $\kappa' = \gamma_0'/\gamma_1'$ coincide, where $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1$ and $\gamma' = \gamma_0' + \gamma_1'$, then the Cox processes $X$ and $X'$ have the same distributions up to a scaling. This observation can be used in order to do numerical computations only for a single parameter triplet $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \lambda_T)$ with given ratio $\gamma_0/\gamma_1$ and scaling factor $\kappa = (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1)/\lambda_T$. For other parameter triplets $(\gamma_0', \gamma_1', \lambda_T')$ with the same scaling factor $\kappa$ and with $\gamma_0/\gamma_1 = \gamma_0'/\gamma_1'$ we can then obtain the corresponding results from the already computed ones by an appropriate scaling, see [15]. Thus, in our numerical computations, we fix $\gamma = 1$ and only vary $\gamma_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_T > 0$, where $\gamma_1 = 1 - \gamma_0$, see Sections IV.

Furthermore, the following convergence results can be proved. On the one hand, one can show that $X$ converges weakly to a (planar) Poisson process with intensity $\lambda$ if $\kappa \to \infty$ and $\lambda_T \gamma (= \lambda)$ is fixed. Thus, it is interesting to compare numerical results for Cox processes on $T$ to corresponding results for Poisson processes with the same intensity. On the other hand, one can show that $T$ converges weakly to $T_0$ and $T_1$ if $\gamma_1 \to 0$ and $\gamma_0 \to 0$, respectively. Thus, we can compare numerical results for Cox processes on iterated tessellations to corresponding results for Cox processes on simpler (non-iterated) tessellations.

### III. Palm distributions

In this section we consider the Palm version of the stationary Cox process $X$, which is a point process $X^*$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ whose distribution is given by

$$ Eh(X^*) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i : X_i \in [0, 1]^2} h(\{X_i\} - X_i), $$

(III.1)

where $h : \mathbb{N} \to [0, \infty)$ is an arbitrary measurable function and $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the family of all locally finite sets $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that $\mathbb{P}(o \in X^*) = 1$ by definition, where the distribution of $X^*$ is called the Palm distribution of $X$. It can be interpreted as conditional distribution of $X$ given that there is a point at the origin. Furthermore, it can be shown that the so-called reduced Palm version $X^* \setminus \{o\}$ of $X$ is a Cox process, too. The random intensity measure $\Lambda^*$ of $X^* \setminus \{o\}$ is given by $\Lambda^*(B) = \lambda_T \nu_1(\partial T^* \cap B)$ for each Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, where $T^*$ is a random tessellation whose distribution is given by

$$ Eh(T^*) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \int_{T \cap [0, 1]^2} h(T - x) \nu_1(dx), $$

(III.2)

where $h : T \to [0, \infty)$ is an arbitrary measurable function and $T$ denotes the family of all tessellations in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Note that $\mathbb{P}(o \in \partial T^*) = 1$ by definition, where the distribution of $T^*$ is called the Palm distribution of $T$. It can be interpreted as conditional distribution of $T$ given that the origin belongs to an edge of $T$.

Palm distributions are important objects in the analysis of telecommunication networks. For instance, we can associate to each point $X_n$ of $X$ its Voronoi cell $\Xi_n$. If we assume that $X_n$ represents a network component, then $\Xi_n$ can be regarded as the domain it has to serve. The typical Voronoi cell of $X_n$ is then defined as the Voronoi cell at the origin with respect to $X^*$. Note that the distribution of the typical cell can be regarded as the limit of the empirical distributions of all Voronoi cells in a family of unboundedly increasing sampling windows.

### A. Representation formula for $T^*$

Assume that the random intensity measure $\Lambda$ of the stationary Cox process $X$ is the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure concentrated on the edge set $\partial T$ of a (stationary) iterated tessellation $T = \tau(T_0 \mid T_1, T_2, \ldots)$, where $T_0, T_1, T_2, \ldots$ are independent stationary tessellations and $T_1, T_2, \ldots$ are identically distributed.

In Section III-B we present a simulation algorithm for the typical Voronoi cell of $X$, or, equivalently, for the Voronoi cell of $X^*$ with respect to the origin. This algorithm is based on the following representation formula for $T^*$, which includes the Palm versions $T_0^*$ and $T_1^*$ of $T_0$ and $T_1$, respectively. In particular, the iterated tessellations $\tau(T_0^* \mid T_1, T_2, \ldots)$ and $\tau(T_0^* \mid T_1^*, T_2, T_3, \ldots)$ are considered, where, in the latter case, the zero-cell $\Xi_{o_1}$ of $T_0^*$ has the component tessellation $T_1^*$, and the other cells of $T_0$ have the component tessellations $T_2, T_3, \ldots$, respectively.
Theorem 3.1: For any measurable function $h : \mathbb{T} \mapsto (0, \infty)$, it holds that
\[
\mathbb{E} h(T^*) = \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} h(\tau(T_0^* | T_1, T_2, \ldots)) + \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} h(\tau(T_0 | T_1^*, T_2, T_3, \ldots)). \tag{III.3}
\]

Proof: Using (III.2), we can write $\mathbb{E} h(T^*)$ as
\[
\mathbb{E} h(T^*) = \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_0[0,1]^2} h(T-x) \nu_1(dx) \right]
= \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_0[0,1]^2} h(\tau(T_0-x | T_1-x, T_2-x, \ldots)) \nu_1(dx) \right]
= \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_0[0,1]^2} h(\tau(T_0-x | T_1, T_2, \ldots)) \nu_1(dx) \right]
= \mathbb{E} h(\tau(T_0^* | T_1, T_2, \ldots)).
\]

Note that the independence and stationarity of $T_0, T_1, T_2, \ldots$ have been used in the last but one equality, whereas the last equality is obtained from (III.2), replacing $T$ and $T^*$ by $T_0$ and $T_0^*$, respectively. It remains to show that
\[
\mathbb{E} h(\tau(T_0 | T_1, T_2, T_3, \ldots)) = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{T \cap \Xi_i[0,1]^2} h(T-x) \nu_1(dx) \right]. \tag{III.4}
\]

In the following we write $\mathbb{E}_{T_1}, \mathbb{E}_{T_0}, T_1$, and $\mathbb{E}(T_1)$ to indicate that the expectation is taken with respect to a single tessellation $T_1$, two tessellations $T_0$ and $T_1$, or an infinite sequence of tessellations $\{T_j\}$, respectively. Since $T_0, T_1, T_2, \ldots$ are independent and stationary, we get that
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{T \cap \Xi_i[0,1]^2} h(T-x) \nu_1(dx) \right]
= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{T_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_i[0,1]^2} \mathbb{E}(T_1) \mathbb{E} \left[ h(\tau(T_0-x | T_1-x, \{T_j\}_{j \geq 2})) \nu_1(dx) \right] \right]
= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{T_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_i[0,1]^2} h(\tau(T_0-x | T_1-x, \{T_j\}_{j \geq 2})) \nu_1(dx) \right],
\]
where in the last expression $T_1-x$ denotes the component tessellation which subdivides the zero cell of $T_0-x$ for each $x \in \Xi_0_i$. Furthermore, we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_i[0,1]^2} \mathbb{E}(T_1) \mathbb{E} \left[ h(\tau(T_0-x | T_1-x, \{T_j\}_{j \geq 2})) \nu_1(dx) \right] \right]
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_0[0,1]^2} \mathbb{E}(T_1) \mathbb{E} \left[ h(\tau(T_0-x | T_1-x, \{T_j\}_{j \geq 2})) \nu_1(dx) \right] \right]
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{T \cap \Xi_0[0,1]^2} h(\tau(T_0-x | T_1-x, \{T_j\}_{j \geq 2})) \nu_1(dx) \right],
\]
of the Euclidean connection distances from all points of it can be regarded as the limit of the empirical distribution produced for two different scenarios. Note that the distribution of the typical cell of the extremal cases where $T$ increases. Thus, we can interpolate between the distributions of $D^*$ if $T$ moves from PVT towards PLT if $\nu_1(\Xi^*_n \cap B(o,x))$ denotes the intersection length of the circle $\partial B(o,x)$ with radius $x$ centered at $o$ inside $\Xi^*_n$. If $L$ is a Cox process on $T$, then an estimator $\hat{F}_{D^*}(x;n)$ for the distribution function $F_{D^*}(x)$ is given by

$$
\hat{F}_{D^*}(x;n) = \frac{\lambda_n \gamma_0}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_1(\Xi^*_i \cap B(o,x))
$$

where $S^*_1, \ldots, S^*_n$ denotes an i.i.d. sample of segment systems of the underlying tessellation $T^*$ inside $\Xi^*_1, \ldots, \Xi^*_n$, respectively. Based on $\hat{F}_{D^*}$ we can then get an estimator $\tilde{f}_{D^*}$ for the density of $D^*$ by computing difference quotients. The numerical results obtained from simulations of the typical cell of the Cox processes $H$ are displayed in Fig. 4.

We again see that the distributions of $D^*$ for PVT and PLT occur as extremal cases and that we can move from PVT to PLT if $\nu_0$ goes from 1 to 0. Thus, considering a more flexible class of (iterated) tessellations, we now are in a position to arrive at a more flexible class of different distance distributions. This extension is useful in applications to real networks, whereas, so far, we were only able to use simpler (non-iterated) tessellation models.

### IV. Numerical results and applications

We now focus on the case of an iterated tessellation $T = \tau(T_0 \mid T_1, T_2, \ldots)$, where $T_0$ is a PVT and $T_1, T_2, \ldots$ are PLT. Note that other nestings based on PVT, PDT and PLT, respectively, can be analyzed similarly.

#### A. Typical Voronoi cell of Cox processes

The locations of network components in hierarchical telecommunication networks are often modeled by two stationary point processes $H = \{H_n\}$ and $L = \{L_n\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, where $H$ represents the locations of high-level components and $L$ represents the locations of low-level components. Suppose that each point of $L$ is connected to its nearest point of $H$, i.e., a point $L_n$ of $L$ is connected to the point $H_{k_n}$ of $H$ if $L_n$ is located in the Voronoi cell of $H_{k_n}$, see [4], [15]. The Voronoi cell of $H_{k_n}$ is then called the serving zone of the high-level component located at this point. Thus the typical Voronoi cell of $H$ is an important object in the global analysis and planning of telecommunication networks, see also Section III. Furthermore, samples of the typical Voronoi cell can be used in order to estimate the density of typical connection distances in hierarchical telecommunication networks, see Section IV-B.

Assume that $H$ is a Cox process on the edge set of a PVT/PLT nesting. In order to determine distributional properties of the typical Voronoi cell of $H$, we simulated the Palm version $H^*$ of $H$ for different values of $\kappa$, $\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_1$ with $\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 = 1$. Based on these samples, we then computed the distribution of cell characteristics like perimeter and area. The results are displayed in Fig. 3. As one can see, we can move from PVT towards PLT if $\gamma_0$ decreases and hence $\gamma_1$ increases. Thus, we can interpolate between the distributions of the typical cell of the extremal cases where $T$ is a PVT and PLT, respectively, by choosing PVT/PLT nestings for values of $\gamma_0$ from 1 to 0.

#### B. Distribution of connection distances

In [12] estimators for the density and distribution function of the typical (Euclidean) connection distance $D^*$ from the typical point of $L$ to its nearest point of $H$ have been introduced for two different scenarios. Note that the distribution of $D^*$ is formally defined via Palm distributions, but again it can be regarded as the limit of the empirical distributions of the Euclidean connection distances from all points of $L$ in a family of unboundedly increasing sampling windows. Thus, the distribution of $D^*$ is also an important object in the global analysis and planning of telecommunication networks. In both scenarios considered in [12], $H$ is a Cox process on $T$, whereas $L$ is either a planar Poisson process independent of $H$ or a Cox process on the same tessellation $T$ which is conditionally independent of $H$ given $T$. Then, in both cases, estimators for the density and distribution function of $D^*$ can be constructed based on samples of the typical Voronoi cell of $H$.

Let $H$ be a Cox process on $T$ with intensity $\lambda = \lambda_T\gamma$. If $L$ is a planar Poisson process, then

$$
\hat{f}_{D^*}(x;n) = \frac{\lambda_n \gamma_0}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_1(\Xi^*_i \cap \partial B(o,x))
$$

(IV.5) can be used to estimate the probability density of $D^*$. Here $\Xi^*_1, \ldots, \Xi^*_n$ is an i.i.d. sample of the typical Voronoi cell of $H$ and $\nu_1(\Xi^*_i \cap \partial B(o,x))$ denotes the intersection length of the circle $\partial B(o,x)$ with radius $x$ centered at $o$ inside $\Xi^*_i$. If $L$ is a Cox process on $T$, then an estimator $\hat{F}_{D^*}(x;n)$ for the distribution function $F_{D^*}(x)$ is given by

$$
\hat{F}_{D^*}(x;n) = \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_1(S^*_i \cap B(o,x))
$$

(IV.6) where $S^*_1, \ldots, S^*_n$ is a Cox process on $T$. In both cases, we can then get an estimator $\hat{F}_{D^*}$ for the density of $D^*$ by computing difference quotients. The numerical results obtained from simulations of the typical cell of the Cox processes $H$ are displayed in Fig. 4.

We again see that the distributions of $D^*$ for PVT and PLT occur as extremal cases and that we can move from PVT to PLT if $\nu_0$ goes from 1 to 0. Thus, considering a more flexible class of (iterated) tessellations, we now are in a position to arrive at a more flexible class of different distance distributions. This extension is useful in applications to real networks, whereas, so far, we were only able to use simpler (non-iterated) tessellation models.
V. CONCLUSIONS

We investigate the Palm version $X^*$ of stationary Cox processes $X$ on iterated random tessellations $T$. In particular, we derive a representation formula for the Palm version $T^*$ of $T$ which includes the initial tessellation $T_0$ and the component tessellation $T_1$ of $T$ as well as their Palm versions $T_0^*$ and $T_1^*$. Using this formula, we are able to construct a simulation algorithm for $X^*$ if both $T_0$, $T_1$ and their Palm versions $T_0^*$, $T_1^*$ can be simulated.

Since simulation algorithms for PVT, PLT and PDT and their Palm version are available, we are now able to simulate the Palm version of Cox processes on iterated tessellations based on these three basic tessellation models. The new simulation algorithm can be used in the analysis of telecommunication networks. Based on simulations of $X^*$ we can estimate the distributions of direct connection distances for wireless network models and the distributions of connection distances along the underlying street systems for access network models. This is an important extension of our earlier work since we can now use iterated tessellations as street models which are more flexible than the simpler (non-iterated) tessellation models PVT, PLT and PDT considered so far.
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